

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,366	08/06/2003	Jeffrey M. Vitullo	3600/268	1298
1912 7590 02/23/2007 AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP 90 PARK AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/23/2007			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Y0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commune	10/635,366	VITULLO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew F. DeSanto	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .					
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	I.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		dication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea	rity documents have been re	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.				
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	····					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,366

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quinn et al. (USPN 6,387,052).

Quinn et al. discloses a catheter having a stiffening section 404 as claimed, which is located in the middle of the catheter (see figure 7). The other catheter embodiments shown in figures 5 and 6 also show a separation of the catheter-stiffening element from the distal and proximal terminus.

The examiner would like to note that the needle, needle hub and needle tip are never positively recited and only functionally recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3763

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8, 16, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quinn et al. as applied to the claims above.

Quinn discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the stiffening coating having a thickness not exceeding about 0.08mm, and the sleeve being made out of PET. The instant disclosure describes this dimension as being merely preferable, and does not describe it as contributing any unexpected result to the catheter. As such this parameter is deemed matters of design choice (lacking in any criticality), well within the skill of the ordinary artisan, obtained through routine experimentation in determining optimum results. With respect to the PET material, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Quinn's sheath 404 with a PET material, since the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325, U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments, filed 7/10/06, with respect to Pande et al. and Nelson have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 102 of Rejections have been withdrawn.
- 6. With regards to the arguments drawn to Quinn et al. the examiner interprets the claims to read on just a catheter that can be used in needle (with a hub). There is no positively recited needle, needle hub or needle tip, and the only mention of these structures is during functional language and intended use. Therefore, the examiner

suggests positively reciting the needle hub, needle and needle tip since the applicant seems to be arguing and relying on these structures for novelty and patentability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

February 20, 2007